# Vote "<u>NO</u>" on Measure B The Bait-and-Switch 50% Tax Hike !

# The Police and Fire Unions Spent \$36,231 in <u>OUR</u> City Election. They Want to RAISE TAXES and THEIR PAY and PENSIONS !

All the firefighters and about three-fourths of the police don't even live in town.

#### This grass-roots flyer contains all you need to know about Measure B

**YOU MUST VOTE April 12 to STOP IT.** All of this information comes from official City of El Segundo public record documents. For more info and proof, see <u>PublicSafetyProject.org</u>.

#### **Background**

- The <u>City lured hotels here with a Business Attraction Program</u> and <u>lower Hotel Transient</u> <u>Occupancy Tax</u> (TOT); Now <u>after the hotels are built</u>, the <u>City is trying to raise their TOT</u> <u>tax by 50%</u>, from 8% to 12%, which exceeds the excessive 9% Sales Tax
- Measure B will <u>DESTROY El Segundo's competitive edge and longtime reputation</u> as a business-friendly city
- Measure B piles on top of the new 50% Minimum Wage Hike from \$10 to \$15 per hour
- Adjacent Manhattan Beach has only a 10% TOT Tax
- Measure B <u>will place El Segundo at 24% above the 9.69% average</u> for 483 California cities and counties that have a TOT as shown in the 12/1/15 City Council Agenda Packet
- Hotel room rates and <u>TOT revenue increased significantly since 1996</u> (20 years); <u>Annual TOT revenue is UP \$1.5 MILLION (38%)</u> since FY 2009-10 <u>Without Raising</u> <u>Taxes</u> (see elsegundoca.OpenGov.com)
- Hotel Operators OPPOSE Measure B They Can Only Accept a 10% TOT
  - City representatives met with the <u>Hotel Operators</u>, and they <u>agreed to accept at</u> <u>most a 25% TOT increase to 10%</u>, after being told the City needs the money
  - <u>Tax-and-Spend</u> City Council Members <u>Marie Fellhauer and Dave Atkinson</u> INSISTED on a <u>50% TOT tax increase</u>, from <u>8% to 12%</u> (12/1/15 Council meeting)
  - City Council Member Mike Dugan and former City Council Members Mike Robbins, Jane Friedkin, and Dick Switz <u>all OPPOSE Measure B</u>

#### <u>Details</u>

- <u>Permanent Tax Hike</u> No Sunset Clause (Expiration Date), <u>although new development will</u> provide \$2.6 Million in new tax revenue, plus \$8.5 Million more from Chevron, EACH year
- TOT Tax is paid by customers <u>Measure B will Increase Room Prices and Reduce Sales</u>
- Most or All of the new tax revenue will go to Pay and Pension Increases as in the past
- <u>Measure B will INCREASE the City's Funded and Unfunded CalPERS Pension Liabilities</u>, because EVERY pay raise increases both the Funded and Unfunded Pension Liabilities

#### **Real and Better Solutions**

- <u>Stop giving Unions and Managers 11.25% to 32.3% in pay raises</u> over three years as was done during the Great Recession while Redondo Beach cut compensation 6%
- <u>Save > \$3.3 Million per Year</u>: Require Employees to <u>Pay Half the Pension Contributions</u>
- <u>Save several Million more per Year</u>: <u>Eliminate</u> automatic additional yearly 5% <u>"Step"</u> <u>Raises, "Longevity" Raises, and Redundant "Special Compensation"</u>

#### Non-Binding Resolution on How to Spend the Measure B Tax Money

- The City Attorney said a <u>resolution on how to spend the Measure B tax money is not</u> <u>binding</u>, and <u>only language in the ballot measure can be binding</u>
- City Council chose the <u>non-binding route</u>, and proposed a <u>worthless resolution</u> as a <u>ploy</u>
- The tax hike <u>will pay for past and future pay raises</u> and the <u>resulting pension</u> increases, <u>NOT for infrastructure or more police officers and firefighters</u>

### Measure B has Bad Timing

- It greatly weakens the City Council's bargaining position as it continues its ongoing Labor Contract negotiations with the Police Union and other City Employee Unions
- It asks us to raise the TOT Tax <u>before</u> we can see if any cost savings result from those negotiations, or if the unions get more big pay raises as usual
- <u>City Council can come back with a less extreme measure in November</u> After it negotiates new long-term union contracts and makes them public

# Measure B Does Nothing to Solve the City's Spending Problems and Actually Delays a Real Solution

- <u>Once You See What El Segundo Pays Its Police and Firefighters, You Won't Vote for</u> <u>Any Tax Hikes!</u> (See http://TransparentCalifornia.com/salaries/2014/el-segundo/)
- Police and Firefighters are paid total compensation of <u>\$150,000 to \$375,000+ per year</u>
- <u>18 City Employees</u> are <u>paid more than \$300,000 per year</u>; <u>35 more than \$250,000</u>; <u>77 more than \$200,000</u>; <u>118 more than \$150,000</u>; and <u>186 more than \$100,000</u>
- <u>One City Police Employee got a 23% raise in his last year</u>, spiking his pay and pension, and <u>was paid nearly \$600,000 total that year!</u> (See PublicSafetyProject.org)
- City Council <u>raised Chevron's taxes</u> in 2013 by more than <u>\$8.5 Million average per</u> year for 15 years, but the <u>City Council keeps coming back for more taxes</u>!

# **City Council Controls and Increased Pension Costs in 3 Significant Ways**

- Huge Pay Raises and Redundant Special Compensation increased Pension costs
- City pays 71% to 94% of total CalPERS pension contributions instead of only half
- City provides the most extravagant and expensive Pension Plan Options

This flyer is a response to late campaign mailers and late campaign contribution reports. Check the PublicSafetyProject.org website for documentation and responses to any last-minute hit pieces. Authored by Michael D. Robbins. Not authorized or endorsed by any candidate or committee. Paid for by Michael D. Robbins, P.O. Box 2193, El Segundo, CA 90245. 4/10/2016 Rev. 5